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#### Abstract

In this paper we give a characterization of those $n$-dimensional subspaces of $C_{0}(X)$, where $X$ are certain locally compact spaces, for which alternation-elements are unique. As a consequence we obtain a result on the existence of continuous, quasi-linear selections for the metric projection in $C_{0}(X)$, which represents a partial solution of a problem posed by Lazar et al. [J. Functional Analysis 3 (1969), 193-216]. Furthermore, we establish a necessary condition for the existence of inner-radial-continuous selections for the metric projection in normed linear spaces. From this we deduce results on the nonexistence of inner-radial-continuous selections for the metric projection. Finally, we give a characterization of those exponential sums in $C[a, b]$ which admit an inner-radial-continuous selection for their metric projection.


## Introduction

If $G$ is a nonempty subset of a normed linear space $E$, then, for each $x$ in $E$, the set $P_{G}(x):=\left\{g_{0} \in G: . x-g_{0}\right\}=\inf \{\mid x-g: g \in G\} ;$ is called the set of best approximations of $x$ from $G$. This defines a set-valued mapping $P_{G}$ from $E$ into $2^{G}$ which is called the metric projection onto $G$. A mapping $s$ from $E$ onto $G$ is called a continaous (respectively, inner-radial-continuous) selection for $P_{G}$, if $s(x) \in P_{G}(x)$ for each $x \in E$. and $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ (respectively, $\left(x_{n}\right)$ $\subset\left\{g_{0}+a\left(x-g_{n}\right): 0 \leqslant a \cdot 1 ;\right.$ with $x_{n} \rightarrow x$. where $\left.g_{n} \in P_{G}(x)\right)$ imply $s\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow s(x)$. The concept of radial-continuity has been introduced by Brosowski and Deutsch [5, 6]. The set $G$ is called proximinal (respectively, Chebyshev) if $P_{6}(x)$ contains at least one (respectively. exactly one) element for each $x$ in $E$.

Continuity criteria for the set-valued metric projection and. in particular, selection properties have been investigated by many authors in recent years (see, e.g., Singer [22] and Vlasov [25]). In this paper we consider the question of existence of (inner-radial-) continuous selections for $P_{G}$.

Lazar et al. [13] gave the first characterization of those one-dimensional subspaces $G$ of $C(X)$ which admit a continuous selection for $P_{G}$. They posed
the problem to characterize the corresponding $n$-dimensional subspaces. This question has also been raised in the book of Holmes [10]. Results for $n>1$ are known only in the case $X=[a, b]$. In Section 1 we give an existence theorem for continuous, quasi-linear selections for $P_{G}$, for a class of $n$ dimensional weak Chebyshev subspaces $G$ in $C_{0}(X)$, where $X$ is an arbitrary locally compact subset of the real line if $n \geqslant 2$, and show that the assumptions on $G$ are essential in a certain sense. The key result (Theorem 1.2) in this section, which may be of independent interest, is a characterization of those $n$-dimensional subspaces in $C_{0}(X)$, where $X$ is a locally compact subset of the real line if $n \geqslant 2$, for which each $f \in C_{0}(X)$ has a unique alternation element (Definition 1.1). In the particular case $X=[a, b]$, this has been proved by Nürnberger and Sommer [18]: their arguments. however, do not apply in the general situation. As a corollary we obtain the above-mentioned selection theorem (Corollary 1.3). In the particular case of continuous selections for $P_{G}$. Corollary 1.3 has been proved for $X$ compact and $n==1$ by Lazar et al. [13], and for $X=[a, b]$ and $n$ arbitrary by Nürnberger and Sommer [18]. (A particular case is a result of Brown [7] for $X=[-1,1]$ and $n=5$ ).

Unlike existence of continuous selections for $P_{G}$, each $n$-dimensional subspace in any normed linear space admits an inner-radial-continuous selection for $P_{G}$, and more (see [17]). The situation. however, is completely different if we consider nonlinear sets $G$.

In Section 2 we give a necessary condition for the existence of inner-radial-continuous selections for $P_{G}$, where $G$ is a proximinal subset in a normed linear space (Theorem 2.1). As a consequence, we get that, if $G$ is the boundary of a ball in a normed linear space, then $P_{G}$ has no inner-radialcontinuous selection (Corollary 2.2). Finally we show that the exponential sums $E_{n}$ in $C[a, b]$ allow an inner-radial-continuous selection for $P_{E_{n}}$ if and only if $n=1$ (Theorem 2.3).

Notation. For a normed linear space $E$ and $x, y \in E, r=0$, we denote $S(x, r):=\{y \in E:, x-y=r\}, K(x, r):=\{y \in E: x-y ;<r\}$ and $[x$, $y]:=\{a x-(1-a) y: 0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1\}$. For $f \in C_{0}(X), P \subset C_{0}(X)$ and $A \subset X$ we denote by $Z(f):=\{x \in X: f(x)=0\}, Z(P):==\cap\{Z(p): p \in P\}, f ; A$ the restriction of $f$ to $A$ and $b d A$ the boundary of $A$. If $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ are in a linear space then by span $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots . g_{n}\right\}$ we denote the linear hull of $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$.

## 1. Linear Case

In this section we consider the question of the existence of continuous selections for $P_{G}$, where $G$ is an $n$-dimensional subspace in a space of continuous functions.

For a locally compact Hausdorff space $X^{\prime}$ let $C_{11}(X)$ be the space of all realvalued continuous functions $f$ on $X$ vanishing at infinity, i.e., for each $\epsilon .0$ the set $\{x \in X: f(x) \geqslant \epsilon\}$ is compact. endowed with the norm $f \cdot-$ $\sup \{f(x): x \in X\}$ for each $f \in C_{0}(X)$. If $X$ is compact then we denote $C_{0}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ by $C(X)$.

In the following we consider $n$-dimensional subspaces $G$ of $C_{0}(X)$, where $\lambda$ is a subset of the real line if $n=2$. Furthermore the space $X$ shall contain at least $n-1$ points. The subspace $G$ is called reak Chebysher. if for each basis $\left\{g_{1} \ldots . . g_{n}\right\}$ of $G$ there exists an $\epsilon \ldots=1$ such that for each $n$ distinct points $x_{1} \ldots, x_{n}$ in $X\left(x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}\right.$, if $\left.n \because 2\right) \in \operatorname{det}\left(g_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)=0$. The subspace $G$ is called a Chebysher system on $Y$. where $Y$ is a subset of the real line. if for each basis $\left\{g_{1} \ldots \ldots g_{n}\right\}$ of $G$ and each $n$ distinct points $y_{1} \ldots . r_{n}$ in $Y \operatorname{det}\left(g_{2}\left(r_{j}\right)\right)=0$.
1.1. Definition. If $f$ is in $C_{0}(X)$ then $g_{f}$ in $P_{G}(f)$ is called an alternationelement $\left(A\right.$-element) of $f$. if there exist $n-1$ distinct points $x_{0}, \ldots x_{n}$ in $X$ $\left(x_{0}<\cdots<x_{n}\right.$, if $\left.n \geq 2\right)$ such that $\epsilon(-1)^{i}\left(f-g_{f}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)=f-g_{f}$. $i=0$. I ..... $n, \epsilon=1$. The points $x_{0} \ldots \ldots x_{n}$ are called alternating extreme points of $f-g_{f}$.

The next theorem, which may be of independent interest, is the key result in this section and represents a characterization of those $n$-dimensional subspaces in $C_{0}(X)$ for which we have uniqueness of alternation-elements.
1.2. Theorem. Let $G$ be an $n$-dimensional subspace of $C_{4}(X)$, where $X$ is a subset of the real line, if $n: 2$. Then the following statements are equiralent.
(1) $G$ is weak Chebrshev and each $g \in G, g=0$. has at most $n$ distinct zeros.
(2) For each $f \in C_{0}(X)$ there exists exactly one alternation-element $g_{f}$ in $P_{G}(f)$.

Proof. We show that (1) implies (2). Therefore we assume that (1) holds. First we show that each $f \in C_{0}(X)$ has at least one $A$-element in $P_{G}(f)$.

Let $n=1$. For $f$ in $G$ statement (2) is trivial. Therefore let $f$ be in $C_{0}(X), G$ and $G=\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{1}\right\}$. Let $y$ be the only zero of $g_{1}$. We choose a neighborhood basis ( $U_{x}$ ) of $y$ such that the sets $U_{x}$ are open and small enough that $g_{1}$ is linearly independent on $K_{r}=X U_{a}$. The neighborhood basis ( $U_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) is a directed system. if we order it by inclusion. For each $x$ we approximate $f$ on $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ by $G_{2}=\left\{g_{\kappa_{x}}: g \in G\right\}$ with respect to the norm $h^{\prime} h^{\prime}=\sup \{h(s):$ $x \in K_{2} ;$ for each $h \in C_{0}\left(K_{\imath}\right)$. Since $G$ is a Chebyshev system and weak Chebyshev on $K_{x}$, by Bram [4] for $P_{G_{x}}(f)=\left\{g_{x}\right\}$, there exist points $x_{n}{ }^{2}, x_{1}{ }^{2}$ in $K_{x}$ such that $\epsilon_{x}(-1)^{i}\left(f-g_{x}\right)\left(x_{i}^{x}\right)=i f-g_{x}{ }_{2}, i=0$, 1. $\epsilon_{x}=\dot{\text { i }} 1$. Since $G$ is a finite-dimensional subspace by standard arguments ( $\tilde{g}_{y}$ ) has a subnet converging to a function $g_{f} \cong G$. where $g_{\imath}=\tilde{g}_{2}!_{\kappa}$, with $\tilde{g}_{x} \in G$.

Passing to a subnet we also may assume that for each $x$ we have $\epsilon_{\alpha}=\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon==1$. If $X$ is compact then we may assume that for each $i=0,1$ ( $x_{i}{ }^{2}$ ) has a subnet converging to a point $x_{i} \in X$. If not, since $X$ is locally compact, $X$ can be imbedded in its one point compactification $X \cup\{\infty\}$ and $C_{0}(X)$ may be considered as a subspace of $C(X \cup\{\infty\})$ by defining $h(\infty)=0$ for each $h \in C_{0}(X)$. Therefore we may assume that for $i=0,1\left(x_{i}^{x}\right)$ has a subnet converging to a point $x_{i} \in X \cup\left\{x_{i}\right.$. Passing to subnets and taking limits we get $\epsilon(-1)^{i}\left(f-g_{f}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=\left\{f-g_{f}, i=0.1, \epsilon=-=1\right.$. The points $x_{i}, i=0,1$, cannot be equal to $x$. since $f-g_{f} \quad \because 0$. Furthermore, since for each $g \in G$ we have $\left|f-g_{\kappa_{\alpha}}\right|_{x} \leqslant{ }^{\prime \prime} f-\left.g_{x}\right|_{2}$, we get by taking limits ${ }^{\prime} f-g ; f-g_{f}$ for each $g \in G$, i.e., $g_{f} \in P_{G}(f)$. Therefore $g_{f}$ is an $A$-element of $f$.

If $n=2$, then since $G$ is weak Chebyshev by a result of Deutsch et al. [8], it follows that for each $f \in C_{0}(X)$ there exists an $A$-element of $f$.

Now we show that for each $f \in C_{0}(X)$ there exists exactly one $A$-element in $P_{G}(f)$. This is done by contradiction. Assume that there exists a function $f \in C_{0}(X) ; G$ which has two distinct $A$-elements $g_{0}, g_{1}$ in $P_{6}(f)$. We may assume that $g_{1}=0$. Therefore there exist $n-1$ distinct points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ (respectively, $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}$ ) in $X\left(x_{0}<\cdots<x_{n}\right.$ (respectively, $\left.y_{0}<\cdots<y_{n}\right)$. if $n \div 2$ ) such that
(a) $(-1)^{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)=: f, i=0 \ldots, n$ (respecticel.: $\epsilon(-1)^{i}\left(f-g_{0}\right)\left(y_{i}\right)=$ $f-g_{n} . i=0, \ldots, n, \epsilon==1$ ). From this it follows
(b) $(-1)^{i} g_{0}\left(x_{i}\right) \geqslant 0$ and $\epsilon(-1)^{i} g_{0}\left(y_{i}\right) \leqslant 0, i=0, \ldots, n$.

First we consider the case $n=1$. We may assume that $g_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ or $g_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ (respectively, $g_{0}\left(y_{0}\right)=0$ or $g_{v}\left(y_{1}\right)=0$ ). otherwise we would have a contradiction to the fact that $G$ is weak Chebyshev. Let $\epsilon=1$. We first consider the case when $g_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \cdots g_{0}\left(y_{0}\right)$. If $g_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ or $g_{0}\left(y_{1}\right)=0$, then $g_{0}$ has two distinct zeros, and if $g_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)<0$ and $g_{0}\left(y_{1}\right)=0$, we have a contradiction to the fact that $G$ is weak Chebyshev. Now we consider the case when $g_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)=0=g_{0}\left(y_{1}\right)$. Then $x_{0}=y_{1}$, otherwise by (a) $g_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)=g_{0}\left(y_{1}\right)>0$, but then $g_{0}$ has two distinct zeros, which is not possible. The other cases follow analogously. Similar arguments hold in the case $\epsilon=-1$.

Now we consider the case $n \geqslant 2$. First we show that
(c) there does not exist a function $g \in G . g \neq 0$, with the property that there exist $n-3$ distinct points $t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n+3}$ such that

$$
(-1)^{i+1} g\left(t_{i}\right) \geqslant 0, i=1, \ldots, n-3
$$

Assume that there exists a function $g \in G, g \neq 0$. as in (c). Since each $g \in G$, $g \neq 0$, has at most $n$ distinct zeros, there exists a point $y_{1} \in\left\{t_{1} \ldots, t_{n+1}\right\}$ such that $G$ is a Chebyshev system on $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right\}:\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Set $\left\{s_{1} \ldots, s_{n}\right\}=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.t_{n+1}\right\} \cdot y_{1}$, such that $s_{1}<\cdots<s_{n}$, and $!_{2}-=t_{n-2}, r_{3}=t_{n-3}$. Since $G$ is a

Chebyshev system on $\left\{s_{1} \ldots . . s_{n}\right\}$ there exists a basis $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots . g_{n} ;\right.$ of $G$ such that for each $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\}}\right.$we have $g_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)=0$, if $j=i$, and $g_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)=-1$. if $s_{i}=-t_{i}$ with $j$ odd (respectively, $g_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)=-1$, if $s_{i}==t$, with $j$ even).

Then $g=a_{1} g_{1}-\cdots \cdots a_{n} g_{n}$ with $a_{1} \ldots, a_{n} \geqslant 0$ and the scalars $a_{i}$ are not all zero. We define

$$
D=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) & \cdots & g_{1}\left(s_{n}\right) \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
g_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) & \cdots & g_{n}\left(s_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right|
$$

and for each $i \in\{1 \ldots, n\}$,
where $s_{1}<\cdots<s_{j}<y_{1}<s_{j-1}<\cdots<s_{i-1}<s_{i-1}<\cdots<s_{p}$. Since $G$ is weak Chebyshev, we have $D D_{i} \geqslant 0, i=1, \ldots, n$, and it is easy to verify, that from this it follows that for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} g_{2}\left(y_{1}\right) \leqslant 0$, if $y_{1}=t$ with $j$ odd (respectively, $g_{i}\left(y_{1}\right) \geqslant 0$. if $y_{1}=t$, with $j$ even).

Therefore, since $g\left(t_{j}\right) \geqslant 0$, if $j$ even (respectively. $g\left(t_{j}\right) \leqslant 0$, if $j$ is odd) we get $g\left(y_{1}\right)=a_{1} g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)-\cdots-a_{n} g_{n}\left(y_{1}\right)=0$. Since the real numbers $a_{1} g_{1}$ $\left(y_{1}\right) \ldots, a_{n} g_{n}\left(y_{1}\right)$ have the same sign, it follows that
(i) for each $i \in\{1, \ldots n\}$ with $a_{i}=0$ we have $g_{i}\left(y_{1}\right)=0$. Now we define for each $i \in\{1 \ldots, n\}$ and each $t \geqslant s_{n}$. where $t \in T$.

$$
\left.D_{i}(t)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
g_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) & \cdots & g_{1}\left(s_{i-1}\right) & g_{1}\left(s_{i-1}\right) & \cdots
\end{array} g_{1}\left(s_{n}\right) g_{1}(t)\right| \begin{array}{ccc}
\vdots & & \\
g_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) & \cdots & g_{n}\left(s_{i-1}\right) \\
g_{n}\left(s_{i-1}\right) & \cdots & g_{n}\left(s_{n}\right) \\
g_{n}(t)
\end{array} \right\rvert\, .
$$

Since $G$ is weak Chebyshev, we have for each $i \in\{1 \ldots \ldots n\}$ and each $t \because s_{n}$, where $t \in T, D D_{i}(t) \geqslant 0$. and it is easy to verify that from this it follows that
(ii) if $\underline{l}_{\underline{2}}=\boldsymbol{t}_{j}$ with $j$ odd (respectively. $j$ even). then for each $t \therefore s_{n}$. where $t \in T, g_{i}(t) \geqslant 0$ (respectively, $\left.g_{i}(t) \leqslant 0\right)$ for $i \in\{1 \ldots, n\}$ with $s_{i}<y_{1}$ and $g_{i}(t) \leqslant 0$ (respectively. $g_{i}(t) \geqslant 0$ ) for each $i \in\{1 \ldots, n\}$ with $s_{i}>y_{1}$. Now we define for each $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $i<j$ the determinant $D_{i j}$ by

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
g_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \cdots g_{1}\left(s_{i-1}\right) g_{1}\left(s_{i-1}\right) & \cdots g_{1}\left(s_{j-1}\right) g_{1}\left(s_{j+1}\right) & \cdots & g_{1}\left(s_{n}\right) g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) g_{1}\left(y_{3}\right) \\
\vdots & & \\
g_{n}\left(s_{1}\right) & \cdots g_{n}\left(s_{i-1}\right) g_{n}\left(s_{i ; 1}\right) \cdots g_{n}\left(s_{j-1}\right) g_{n}\left(s_{j-1}\right) \cdots g_{n}\left(s_{n}\right) g_{n}\left(y_{-2}\right) g_{n}\left(y_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Using (ii), a simple calculation shows that for each $i, j \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\}}\right.$with $i<j$ $D D_{i j}=g_{i}\left(y_{2}\right)_{i} g_{j}\left(y_{3}\right)-g_{j}\left(y_{2}\right) \cdot g_{i}\left(y_{3}\right)$. Since $G$ is weak Chebyshev it follows that
(iii) for each $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $i<j$

$$
!g_{j}\left(y_{2}\right)_{i} \mid g_{i}\left(y_{3}\right) \geqslant g_{i}\left(y_{2}\right) g_{j}\left(y_{3}\right) .
$$

We assume that $y_{2}=t_{j}$ with $j$ odd (The other case follows analogously). By scaling with positive scalars we may assume that for each $a_{i} \neq 0\left|g_{i}\left(y_{3}\right)\right|=1$, since if $g_{i}\left(y_{3}\right)=0$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $a_{i} \neq 0$ the function $g_{i}$ would have $n \div 1$ distinct zeros at $\left(\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\} \cup\left\{y_{1}, y_{3}\right\}\right) \backslash\left\{s_{i}\right\}$, which is a contradiction. We remark that after this procedure statements (i)-(iii) remain valid. Then from (iii) it follows that
(iv) for each $i, j \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\}}\right.$with $i<j, g_{i}\left(y_{2}\right) \leqslant g_{j}\left(y_{2}\right) \mid$. Set $I_{1}=$ $\left\{i: s_{i}<y_{1}, a_{i} \neq 0\right\}, I_{2}=\left\{i: s_{i}>y_{1}, a_{i} \neq 0\right\}$ and let $k$ be such that $\mid g_{k}\left(y_{2}\right)$ $=\min \left\{{ }^{\prime} g_{j}\left(y_{2}\right) \mid: j \in I_{2}\right\}$. Then from (iv) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leqslant g\left(y_{2}\right)=\sum_{i \in I_{1}} a_{i}: g_{i}\left(y_{2}\right)-\sum_{j \in I_{2}} a_{j} \mid g_{j}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(\sum_{i \in I_{1}} a_{i}-\sum_{j \in I_{2}} a_{j}\right), g_{k}\left(y_{2}\right)=g\left(y_{3}\right) g_{k}\left(y_{2}\right): \leqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $I_{1}=\not \varnothing \not$ or $I_{2}=\varnothing \varnothing$ then $g_{k}\left(y_{2}\right)=0$ and the function $g_{k}$ has $n+1$ distinct zeros at $\left(\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\} \cup\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right) ;\left\{s_{k}\right\}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore we may assume that $I_{1} \neq \varnothing$ and $I_{2} \neq \varnothing$. Now, if there exists a number $i \in I_{1}$ with $\left|g_{i}\left(y_{2}\right)\right|<\left|g_{k}\left(y_{2}\right)\right|$; then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leqslant g\left(y_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=I_{1}} a_{i} g_{i}\left(r_{2}\right)-\sum_{j \in I_{2}} a_{j} g_{j}\left(r_{2}\right) \\
& <\left(\sum_{i \in I_{1}} a_{i}-\sum_{i \in I_{2}} a_{j}\right) \cdot g_{k}\left(r_{2}\right) \leqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Otherwise for each $i \in I_{1}$ we have $g_{i}\left(y_{2}\right)!=. g_{k}\left(y_{2}\right)$ and therefore from (ii) it follows that $g_{i}\left(y_{2}\right)=-g_{k}\left(y_{2}\right)$ and $g_{i}\left(y_{3}\right)=1=-g_{k}\left(y_{3}\right)$. But then from (i) it follows that the function $g_{i}+g_{k}$ is not identically zero and has at least $n+1$ distinct zeros at $\left(\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\} \cup\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}\right) ;\left\{s_{i}, s_{k}\right\}$, which again is a contradiction. This shows (c).

Now let $\epsilon=1$. If $x_{i}=y_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n$, then by (b) $g_{0}$ has $n+1$ distinct zeros at $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore we may assume that $x_{i}<y_{i}$ for some $i$. If $y_{j}<x_{j}$ for some $j$ then by (b) the function $g_{0}$ has alternating sign at the $n-3$ points $y_{0}<\cdots<y_{j}<x_{j}<\cdots<x_{i}<y_{i}<\cdots<$ $y_{n}$ if $j<i$ (respectively, at $x_{0}<\cdots<x_{i}<y_{i}<\cdots<y_{j}<x_{j}<\cdots<x_{n}$ if $j>i$ ). But this is a contradiction to (c). If $x_{i+2}<y_{i}$ for some $i$ then by (b) again $g_{0}$ has alternating sign at the $n+4$ points $x_{0}<\cdots<x_{i-2}<y_{i}<$ $\cdots<y_{n}$, which is a contradiction to (c). Therefore we have $x_{i} \leqslant y_{i} \leqslant$
$x_{, 2, i}, \quad 0 \ldots . n$, where the points $x_{n+1}$ and $x_{n, \ldots,}$ are omitted. Now we order the points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{0}, \ldots, l_{1}$ and get points $s_{1} \quad s_{2} \quad s_{2} \quad \ldots \quad s_{1,1}$ $\bar{s}_{n-1} \quad s_{n-2}$ such that

$$
(-1)^{2}{ }^{1} g_{0}\left(s_{i}\right) \therefore 0 . i=1 \ldots, n-2 \text { and }(-1)^{1} g_{0}\left(s_{i}\right)-0 . i-2 \ldots . n \cdot 1 .
$$

Then by (a) and (b) we have the following.
(d) If $s,=\bar{s}_{i}$ for some $i \in\{2 \ldots, n\}$ then $g_{0}\left(s_{i}\right)=g_{0}\left(\bar{s}_{i}\right)=0$ and $\bar{s}_{t-1} \ldots$ $s_{i}=\dot{s}_{1}<s_{i-1}$. If $\dot{s}_{1}=s_{i-1}$ for some $i \in\left\{2, \ldots . n_{1}^{\}}\right.$then $g_{0}\left(\tilde{s}_{i}\right)=-g_{0}\left(s_{i-1}\right)=0$ and $s,<\tilde{s}_{1}=s_{1-1}<\bar{s}_{i \ldots 1}$. If $s_{1}<s_{2}$ then $g_{0}\left(s_{1}\right)=0=g_{0}\left(s_{2}\right)$ and $s_{2}<\bar{s}_{2}$. If $\tilde{s}_{n-1}=s_{n-2}$ then $g_{01}\left(\dot{s}_{n-1}\right) \cdots 0-g_{0}\left(s_{n-2}\right)$ and $s_{n-1}<\bar{s}_{n-1}$.

In the following argumentation, where we show that our assumption leads to contradictions. (d) will be essential.

If $s_{1} \quad s_{\underline{2}}, \bar{s}_{2}=s_{3} \ldots . . \dot{s}_{n-1} \quad s_{n-\underline{2}}$, then $g_{1}$ has $n-1$ distinct zeros at $\left\{s_{1}, s_{3}, \ldots . . s_{n-2}\right\}$. which is a contradiction. Otherwise we consider the first $n-1$ points $u_{1} \ldots ., u_{n-1}$ from $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots . s_{n-2}, \tilde{s}_{2} \ldots . . \tilde{s}_{n+1}\right\}$ for which we have $u_{1} \cdots \cdots \cdots u_{r-1}$ and $(-1)^{i-1} g_{n}\left(u_{i}\right) \geqslant 0 . i=1 \ldots . . n-1$. Since each $g \in G$, has at most $n$ distinct zeros. there exists a point $y \in\left\{u_{1}, \ldots . u_{n-1}\right\}$ such that $G$ is a Chebyshev system on $\left\{u_{1} \ldots, u_{n-1}\{\{, \mathfrak{i}\}\right.$. Analogously as in the proof of (c)(i) we can show that there exists a function $g_{k} \in G, g_{k} \ldots 0$, such that $g_{h}(v)=0$ and therefore $g_{l}$ has $n$ distinct zeros at $\left\{u_{1} \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}:\left\{u_{h}\right\}$.

If $y=u_{1}$. then there exist again $n-1$ distinct points $c_{1}, \ldots . v_{n=1}$ such that $\left\{r_{1} \ldots . r_{n-1}\right\}=\left\{u_{2} \ldots u_{n-1} \cup\right\}_{1} r_{n-2} i_{1} r_{1} \ldots \cdots r_{n-1}$ and $(-1)^{i} g_{0}\left(r_{1}\right) \cdots 0$, $i=1, \ldots, n \quad 1$. Again concluding as in the proof of (ci) we can show that $g$, has a further zero in $s_{n \ldots, 2}$ and therefore at least $n$ - I distinct zeros. which is a contradiction.

If $l=u_{1}$ then we conclude as follows: In this case $y=r$, or $l \quad s$, for some $i \in\{2 \ldots . n \quad 1\}$. If $s_{i}==\dot{s}_{1}$. then $g_{0}(y) \rightleftharpoons 0$, but analogously as in the proof of $(\mathrm{ci})$ we can show that $g_{0}(y)=0$. which leads to a contradiction.

If $s_{1}=\tilde{s}_{\text {; }}$, then there exists again a set of $n$ - I distinct points $\left\{c_{1} \ldots .\right.$. $\left.v_{n-1}\right\}$, containing $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}\{0\}$, but not containing the point $r$, such that $v_{1}<\cdots<r_{n-1}$ and $\sigma(-1)^{i 1} g_{0}\left(c_{i}\right) \div 0, i=1 \ldots . \ldots n-1, \sigma= \pm 1$. Again concluding as in the proof of (ci) we can show that $g_{l}$ has a further zero in $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}\left(\left\{u_{1} \ldots, u_{n-1} \cup \cup, i\right)\right.$ and therefore at least $n-1$ distinct zeros, which is a contradiction.

Now let $\epsilon=-$ I. If $y_{i}<x_{, 1}$ for some $i$. then by (b), $g_{0}$ has alternating sign at the $n-3$ points $y_{0}<\cdots<y_{1}<x_{2-1}<\cdots<x_{n}$. which is a contradiction to (c). If $x_{,-1}<y_{i}$ for some $i$, then by (b) $g_{0}$ has alternating signs at the $n:-3$ points $x_{1}<\cdots<x_{i-1}<y_{i}<\cdots<y_{n}$, which again is a contradiction to (c). Therefore we have $x_{1-1}: y_{2}, x_{1-1}, i=0 \ldots, n$, where the points $x_{-1}$ and $x_{n-1}$ are omitted. We order the points $x_{n}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}, \ldots, y_{,}$and get points $s_{1} \bar{s}_{1} \quad \ldots \cdot s_{n, 1} \quad \bar{s}_{n-1}$ such that $(\cdots 1)^{1} g_{0}(s)-0 . i \quad$ I....
$n-1$. and $(-1)^{i-1} g_{0}\left(\tilde{s}_{i}\right) \geqslant 0, i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Then by (a) and (b) we have the following.
(e) If $s_{i}=\tilde{s}_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $g_{0}\left(s_{i}\right)=g_{0}\left(\bar{s}_{i}\right)==0$ and $\tilde{s}_{i-1}<$ $s_{i}=\bar{s}_{i}<s_{2-1}$. If $\tilde{s}_{i}=s_{i-1}$ for some $i \in\left\{1 \ldots . n_{i}\right.$. then $g_{0}\left(\bar{s}_{i}\right)=0=-g_{0}\left(s_{i-1}\right)$ and $s_{i}<\tilde{s}_{i}=s_{i+1}<\bar{s}_{i \div 1}$.

Statement (e) will be essential in the following argumentation. We consider the $n+1$ distinct points $s_{1}, \ldots . s_{n-1}$ for which $(-1)^{i}{ }^{1} g_{0}\left(s_{i}\right)=0, i=-=1 \ldots$, $n-1$. Since each $g \in G, g \neq 0$, has at most $n$ distinct zeros, there exists a point $y \in\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n+1}\right\}$, say $y=s_{i}$. such that $G$ is a Chebyshev system on $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}\right\}\{y\}$. If $s_{i}=\check{s}_{i}$. then $g_{0}(y) \neq 0$, but analogously as in the proof of (ci) we can show that $g_{0}(y)=0$, which leads to a contradiction. If $s,=\bar{s}_{i}$, then analogously as in the proof of (ci) we can show that there exists a function $g_{k} \in G, g_{k}=:=0$, with $n$ distinct zeros at $\left\{s_{1} \ldots, s_{n-1} ;\left\{s_{k i}\right\}\right.$. Furthermore there exists a set of $n-1$ distinct points $\left\{c_{1} \ldots, t_{n-1}\right\}$, containing $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.s_{n-1}\right\}\{y\}$, but not containing the point $y$, such that $v_{1}<\cdots<c_{n-1}$ and $\sigma(-1)^{i-1} g_{0}\left(c_{i}\right) \geqslant 0 . i=1, \ldots, n-1, \sigma= \pm 1$. Then again concluding as in the proof of (ci) we can show that $g_{k}$ has a further zero in $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$, ( $\left\{s_{1} \ldots, s_{n+1}\right\},\{y\}$ ) and therefore $g_{k}$ has at least $n \cdots 1$ distinct zeros. which is a contradiction. This shows that (1) implies (2).

Now we show that (2) implies (1). First we show that (2) implies that $G$ is weak Chebyshev. Let $n=1$. If $X$ is compact then we set $f=1$. Since by (2) there exists an alternation-element $g_{1} \in P_{G}(f)$, the function $g_{1}$ can not be identically zero and $g_{1} \geqslant 0$. Therefore $G=\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{1}\right\}$ is weak Chebyshev. Therefore assume that $G$ is not compact. Since $X$ is locally compact it can be imbedded in its one point compactification $X \cup\{\infty\}$ and $C_{0}(X)$ may be considered as a subspace of $C(X \cup\{\infty\})$ by defining $h(\infty)=0$ for each $h \in C_{0}(X)$. Now we choose a neighborhood basis $\left(U_{x}\right)$ of $x$ such that the $U_{3}^{\prime}$ 's are open. The neighborhood basis $\left(U_{2}\right)$ is a directed system if we order it by inclusion. By Tietze's Lemma for each $x$ there exists a function $f_{x} \in C$ ( $X \cup\{\infty\}$ ) such that $f_{x}=1$ on $X U_{x}, f_{n}(x)=0$ and $0 \leqslant f_{x}=$. Since for each $a$ we have $f_{2}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{X} \in C_{0}(X)$ and from (2) it follows that there exists an alter-nation-element $g_{x} \in P_{G}\left(f_{x \mid X}\right)$, obviously $g_{x} \geqslant 0$ on $X_{i}^{i} U_{2}$ and $g_{3}=0$, otherwise $g_{2}$ would not be an alternation-element of $f_{2}$. Therefore by scaling we may assume that for each , $g_{x}=1$. Since $G$ is finite dimensional by standard arguments $\left(g_{2}\right)$ has a subnet converging to a function $g_{1} \in G$, $g_{1} \approx=0$, such that $g_{1} \geqslant 0$. This shows that $G=\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{1}\right\}$ is weak Chebyshev. If $n \geqslant 2$ then by a result of Deutsch et al. [8] it follows that if for each $f \in C_{0}(X)$ there exists an alternation-element $g_{f} \equiv P_{G}(f)$, then $G$ is weak Chebyshev. This shows that (2) implies that $G$ is weak Chebyshev.

Now we show that (2) implies that each $g \in G, g \neq 0$, has at most $n$ distinct zeros in $X$. Assume that there exists a function $g_{0} \in G, g_{0}=0$, which has $n-1$ distinct zeros $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in $X\left(x_{n}<\cdots<x_{n}\right.$. if $\left.n \geqslant 2\right)$. By scaling we
may assume that $g_{0}=1$. We show that there exists a function $f^{\prime}$ in $C_{10}(X)$. which has 0 and $g_{0}$ as $A$-elements. Since $X$ is a Hausdorff space there exist neighborhoods $l^{\prime}$, of $, x, i-0 \ldots, n$, which are disjoint. Then there exists a function $f$ in $C_{0}(X)$ with the properties $f^{\prime}=1,(-1)^{i} f(x)-=1 . i-0 \ldots . . n$. $0<f(x) \quad \min \left\{1-g_{0}(x), 1\right.$ for $x \in L_{i}$ if $f(x)=1$ maxi-1 $-g_{0}(x), 1$; $f(x) \quad 0$ for $x=L_{,}$. if $f\left(x_{i}\right) \quad-1$ and $f(x) \cdots 0$ for $x=X \cup L_{i}: i=0 \ldots$. $n$. Then the functions $f$ and $f-g_{n}$ obviously have $n \cdots 1$ alternating extreme points $x_{f} \ldots \ldots x_{n}$ and ${ }^{\prime} f=1=f-g_{0}$. Furthermore we have that 0 and $g_{0}$ are in $P_{(r}(f)$. otherwise there exists a function $g \equiv G$ such that $f \cdots g$
$f$. This implies $(-1)^{2}(f-g)\left(x_{i}\right) \therefore(-1)^{\prime} f(x$,$) and therefore (-1)^{\prime}$ $g\left(x_{i}\right) \because 0 . i \quad 0 \ldots . n$. For $n=-1$ this obviously is a contradiction and for $n \because 2$ we also get a contradiction. since by a result of Deutsch et al. [8] and Zielke [26]. in a weak Chebyshev subspace $G$ there does not exist a function $\tilde{g} \in G$ and distinct points $x_{11}<\cdots \cdots x_{n}$ in $X$ such that $(-I)^{\prime} \tilde{g}\left(x_{2}\right)<0$. $i-0 \ldots . n$. Therefore we have shown that (2) implies (1). and this completes the proof.

In the special case $X=[a . b]$, Theorem 1.2 has been proved in Nürnberger and Sommer [18]. Their methods, however, do not apply to the general situation of Theorem 1.2.

Let $E$ be a real vector space, $G$ a subspace of $E$ and $s$ a mapping from $E$ onto $G$. Then $s$ is called quasi-linear, if for each $f \in E, g \in G$ and real numbers $a$ and $b$ we have $s(a f-b g)=a s(f)-b g$.

Using Theorem 1.2 we now are in position to prove the following result on the existence of continuous, quasi-linear selections for $P_{6}$.
1.3. Corollary. Let $G$ be an n-dimensional weak Cheby'shee subspace of $C_{0}(X)$. where $X$ is a subset of the real line if $n: 2$, such that each $g \subseteq G$, $g=0$. has at most $n$ distinct zeros in $X$. Then there exists a continuous. quasilinear selection for $P_{6}$.

Proof. From the properties of $G$ and Theorem 1.2 it follows that each $f$ in $C_{0}(X)$ has a unique $A$-element $g_{i}$ in $P_{G}(f)$. We define the selection $s$ by $s(f)-g_{f}$ for each $f$ in $C_{n}(X)$.
(1) We show that $s$ is continuous. If not. since $G$ is finite dimensional, there exist $f_{m \prime} \rightarrow f$ and $s\left(f_{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow g$ with $s(f)=g$ and $g \in P_{c_{G}}(f)$. Furthermore for each $m$ there exist $n-1$ distinct points $x_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime 2} \ldots, x_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in $X\left(x_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}<\cdots<\right.$ $x_{n \prime}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ if $\left.n-2\right)$ such that $\left.\epsilon_{\ldots, \prime}(-1)^{i}\left(f_{m}-s\left(f_{m}\right)\right)\left(x_{;}^{\prime \prime}\right)=f_{m}-s\left(f_{m}\right)\right)^{\prime}, i=$ $0 . . . .1$. $\epsilon_{,, \prime}=$ :- 1. Similarly. as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, by passing to subsequences and taking limits we get $\epsilon(-1)^{i}(f-g)\left(x_{i}\right)=-\quad f-g$. $i \ldots 0 \ldots . n . \epsilon=\cdots 1$, where $x_{0} \ldots . . x_{n}$ are distinct points in $X\left(x_{11} \leqslant \cdots \ldots x_{n}\right.$ if $n \quad$ 2). Furthermore, since for each $m s\left(f_{m}\right) \in P_{G_{i}}\left(f_{m}\right)$ and $f_{m} \rightarrow f$ we have $g \in P_{r}(f)$, as it is well known. Therefore $g$ is an $A$-element of $f$ with $s(f) \cdots g$. which is a contradiction to the uniqueness of $A$-elements.
(2) We show that $s$ is quasi-linear. Let $f \in C_{0}(X), g \in G$ and real numbers $a$ and $b$ be given. Since by definition $s(f)$ is an $A$-element of $f$, there exist distinct points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in $X\left(x_{0}<\cdots<x_{n}\right.$ if $\left.n \geqslant 2\right)$ such that $\epsilon(-1)^{i}(f-s(f))\left(x_{2}\right)=\| f-s(f)^{\prime \prime}, i=0, \ldots . . n, \epsilon= \pm \mathbf{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon(-1)^{i}(a f-b g-(a s(f)+b g))\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& \quad=a \epsilon(-1)^{i}(f-s(f))\left(x_{i}\right)=a^{\prime} f-s(f)^{\prime}=\tilde{\epsilon} \cdot a f-a s(f)! \\
& \quad=\tilde{\epsilon}!a f+b g-(a s(f)+b g)^{\prime} . \quad i=0, \ldots . n \cdot \tilde{\epsilon}==1, \epsilon= \pm 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, as it is well known, we have $a s(f) \div b g \in a P_{G}(f) \div b g=$ $P_{G}(a f-b g)$. Therefore $a s(f)-b g$ is an $A$-element of $a f+b g$. But since by definition $s(a f \perp b g)$ is also an $A$-element of $a f \div b g$, if follows from the uniqueness of $A$-elements that $s(a f-b g)=a s(f) \cdots b g$. This completes the proof.
We remark that for $n=1\left(G=\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{1}\right)\right.$ ) Corollary 1.3 also holds, if we only assume that $G$ is weak Chebyshev and $b d Z\left(g_{1}\right)$ contains at most one point. Because in this case we consider the metric projection from $C_{0}(\tilde{X})$ onto the restriction of $G$ to $\tilde{X}$, where $\bar{X}:=\left(X: Z\left(g_{1}\right)\right) \cup b d Z\left(g_{1}\right)$, and extend the existing continuous selection (according to Corollary 1.3) by zero to $X$.

Corollary 1.3 has been proved for continuous selections of $P_{G}$ by Lazar et al. [13] for $X$ compact and $n=1$, and by Nürnberger and Sommer [18] for $X-[a, b]$ and $n$ arbitrary, from which a result of Brown [7] for $X=$ $[-1,1]$ and $n=5$ follows, using different kinds of approaches. Nevertheless their arguments do not apply to the general situation of Corollary 1.3.
In the case $X=[a, b]$ Corollary 1.3 was the crucial key in Nürnberger and Sommer [19] to give a complete characterization of continuous selections of the metric projection for spline functions.

We remark that the conditions on $G$ in Corollary 1.3 are essential in a certain sense. because in Nürnberger [16], it is shown that a necessary condition for an $n$-dimensional subspace $G$ in $C[a . b]$. which admits a continuous selection for $P_{G}$. is that $G$ is weak Chebyshev. Furthermore Sommer [23] has shown that a necessary condition for an $n$-dimensional weak Chebyshev subspace $G$ in $C[a, b]$, for which no $g \in G, g=0$. vanishes on an interval and which admits a continuous selection for $P_{G}$, is that each $g \cong G, g=0$. has at most $n$ distinct zeros in $[a, b]$.

Finally we give some examples of $n$-dimensional subspaces $G$ in $C_{0}(X)$. which fulfill the condition in Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.
1.7. Examples. (1) Several examples of $n$-dimensional subspaces in $C[a, b]$. which fulfill the conditions in Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3. can be found in Brown [7] and Nürnberger and Sommer [18]. A standard example is

$$
G=\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\} \subset C[0,1] . \quad \text { where } g_{i}(x)=x^{i}, i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

(2) Let $\left\{g_{1} \ldots . . g_{n}\right\}$ be a Chebyshev system of continuous real-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ and let $g_{n}$ be in $C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_{0} g_{i} \in C_{0}(\mathbb{R}), i-1 \ldots . n$. and $g_{0}(y)=0$ for some $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g_{0}(x) \because 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}:\{1\}$ (e.g., $g_{0}(x)=x^{i-1}$. $i=1, \ldots, n$. and $g_{0}(x)=-\left(1\right.$ (e) $x^{2}$ for $x \in[-1.1]$ and $g_{0}(x)=1$ e. $e^{2}$ elsewhere $)$. Then $G$ - span\{ $g_{0} g_{1} \ldots . . g_{n} g_{n}$ i is an $n$-dimensional subspace of $C_{11}(\mathbb{R})$, and by standard arguments (compare Jones and Karlovitz [11]) $G$ fulfills the conditions in Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3. and therefore we have the uniqueness of alternation-elements and the existence of a continuous. quasi-linear selection for $P_{6}$. The same holds. if we consider the restriction of $G$ to any closed subset of the real line, containing at least $n-1$ distinct points. Similar arguments give us examples of $n$-dimensional subspaces of $C_{0}(X)$ for arbitrary (not necessarily closed) subsets of the real line.

## 2. Nonlinear Case

As we have seen in Section 1. not every $n$-dimensional subspace $G$ in a normed linear space admits a continuous selection for $P_{G}$. However, this (and even more) is true for inner-radial-continuous selections for $P_{G}$ (see [17]). But the situation is completely different. if we consider nonlinear sets. as we will see in the following.

First we give a necessary condition for the existence of inner-radialcontinuous selections for $P_{c_{i}}$ in arbitrary normed linear spaces.

A set $S$ in a normed linear space $E$ is called star shaped about $x_{0}$ in $E$. if for each $x$ in $S$ we have $\left[x_{0}, x\right] \subset S$.
2.1. Theorem. Let $G$ be a proximinal subset in a normed linear space $E$. If there exists an inner-radial-continuous selection sfor $P_{G}$ then for each x in $E$ and each $g_{0}$ in $P_{G}(x)$ we have

$$
\left[g_{0}, s(x)\right] \subset S(x, d(x, G))
$$

Proof. Let $s$ be an inner-radial-continuous selection for $P_{G}$ and $x \equiv E$, $g_{0} \in P_{C}(x) .0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1$. We show that for each $0 \leqslant b \leqslant 1$ we have
(1) $s\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right) \in S(x, d(x, G)) \cap S\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right) . d\left(g_{0}-b\right.\right.$ $\left.\left(x-g_{0}\right), G\right)$ ). Let $0 \leqslant b \leqslant 1$ be given. Then, of course, $s\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right)$ is in $S\left(g_{0} \quad b\left(x-g_{0}\right), d\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{11}\right), G\right)\right.$ ). Therefore it remains to show that $s\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right)$ is in $S(x, d(x, G))$. Since obviously $d(x, G) \leq x-s$ $\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right) \cdot \mid$ we show that $x-s\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right)$ : $\leqslant d(x . G)$. Assume that
(2) $, x-s\left(g_{4} \cdots b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right): \quad \because d(x, G)$. Since $g_{0} \in P_{c_{1}}(x)$. by the proot of Lemma 2.1 in Singer [21, pp. 364]. $g_{0} \in P_{6}\left(g_{0}, b\left(x-g_{n}\right)\right)$. Furthermore
(3) $\left.b d(x . G)=b ; x-g_{0}:=. g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right)-g_{0}\right)=d\left(g_{0}-b\right.$ $\left.\left(x-g_{0}\right), G\right)$.

Then by (2) and (3) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
: g_{0} & \div b\left(x-g_{0}\right)-s\left(g_{0}+b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right)! \\
& ='^{\prime}\left(x-g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right)-\left(x-s\left(g_{0}+b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right. \\
& \geqslant x-s\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right) '-x-g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right) \\
& >d(x . G)-(1-b) d(x, G)=b d(x, G)=d\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right) . G\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But this is a contradiction to the fact that $s\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right) \in P_{G}\left(g_{0}-b\right.$ $\left.\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right)$. Therefore we have that $s\left(g_{0}-b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right) \in S(x, d(x, G))$ and (1) (1) holds.

Since by an observation of Klee [12] (for a proof see Brosowski and Deutsch [6]) the set $S(x, d(x, G)) \cap S\left(g_{0}+b\left(x-g_{0}\right), d\left(g_{0}+b\left(x-g_{0}\right), G\right)\right)$ is star shaped about $g_{0}$, from (1) it follows that for each $0 \leqslant b \leqslant 1 a g_{0}-(1-a)$ $s\left(g_{0}+b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right) \in S(x, d(x, G))(0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1)$.

Therefore for each $0 \leqslant b \leqslant 1$

$$
\text { (4) }!x-\left(a g_{0}-(1-a) s\left(g_{0}+b\left(x-g_{0}\right)\right), d(x, G)(0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1) .\right.
$$

Now let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $\left\{g_{0}+b\left(x-g_{0}\right): 0 \leqslant b \leqslant 1\right.$, i.e., $x_{n}=g_{0}+$ $b_{n}\left(x-g_{0}\right)$ with $0 \leqslant b_{n} \leqslant 1$, which converges to a point $x \in E$. Then by (4) for each $n$ we have

$$
x-\left(a g_{0}-(1-a) s\left(x_{n}\right)\right),=d(x, G)
$$

Since $s$ is inner-radial-continuous and $\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges to $x$ we have

$$
\left|x-\left(a g_{0}-(1-a) s(x)\right)\right|=d(x, G)
$$

This is true for each $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1$ and therefore $a g_{0}-(1-a) s(x)$ is in $S(x, d(x, G))$, i.e., $\left[g_{0}, s(x)\right] \subset S(x, d(x . G))$.

This completes the proof.
Theorem 2.1 has been proved for continuous selections in Nürnberger [17].
2.2. Corollary. Let $G$ be the boundary of a ball in a normed linear space $E$. Then there exists no inner-radial-continuous (in particular no continuous) selection $s$ for $P_{G}$.

Proof. Let $G=S\left(x_{0}, r\right)=\left\{g \in E: \mid x_{0}-g .=r\right\}$ for some $x_{0} \in E$ and $r>0$. Then $G$ is proximinal, since for each $x \in E$ we have $g_{0} \in P_{G}(x)$, where $g_{0}=x_{0}-\left(r \mid x-x_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)$, because $: x-g_{0},=\mid x-x_{0}-$ $\left(r^{\prime \prime} x-x_{0} \mid\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\prime}=r^{\prime} x-x_{0}-r^{\prime}='^{\prime} x-x_{0}^{\prime} .-x_{0}-g|\leqslant \prime| x-g{ }^{\prime \prime} ;$ for each $g \in G$. Since $P_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)=G$ we have that $s\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $2 x_{0}-s\left(x_{0}\right)$
are in $P_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)$ but obviously $\left[2 x_{0}-s\left(x_{0}\right), s\left(x_{0}\right)\right] \not \subset S\left(x_{1}, d\left(x_{0}, G\right)\right)$ B Theorem 2.1 we get a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Furthermore using Theorem 2.1 it easily follows that a proximinal subset $G$ in a strictly convex space admits an inner-radial-continuous selection for $P_{G}$ if and only if $G$ is Chebyshev. This result can be applied to the generalized rational functions $R_{l \prime, k}$ in $L_{l,}$-spaces ( $1<p \therefore \infty$ ). which are always proximinal, but Chebyshev if and only if $n \quad 0$ (see Blatter [I] and Efimov and Stechkin [8]).

Next we consider the metric projection for exponential sums. An exponential sum is a function $g \in C[a, b]$ which can be represented as $g(x) \cdots \sum_{i=1}^{l}$ $p_{i}(x) e^{t_{1}, x}$. where $p_{i} \in C[a . b]$ is a polynomial of degree $d_{i}$ and $t_{1} \ldots . . t_{l}$ are distinct. The integer $\sum_{i-1}^{?}\left(d_{i}-1\right)$ is called the degree of $g$. By $E_{n}$ we denote the set of all exponential sums with degree less of equal to $n$.

In contrary to the rational functions and the usual exponential sums, which are Chebyshev in $C[a, b]$ (see Meinardus [15]), the exponential sums $E_{n}$, as been defined here, are proximinal but not Chebyshev in $C[a, b]$ for $n: 2$ (see Braess [2, pp. 315]). They represent a frequently investigated nonlinear class of functions.

The next result gives a characterization of inner-radial-continuous selections for $P_{E_{n}}$.
2.3. Theorev. The metric projection from $C[a . b]$ onto the set of exponential sums. $E_{n}$ has an inner-radial-continuous selection if an only if $n=1$.

Proof. If $n=1$ then $E_{n}$ is Chebyshev and therefore the metric projection $P_{E_{n}}$ has an inner-radial-continuous selection. If $n \geqslant 2$ then from the proof of Theorem 8.7 in Braess [3] it can be seen that there exists a continuously differentiable function $f \in C[a . b]$, which has two distinct best approximations $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ in $P_{E_{n}}(f)$. We construct two sequences $\left(f_{m}\right)$ (respectively, $\left(f_{m}\right)$ ). which are in $\left\{g_{1}-a\left(f-g_{1}\right): 0=a<1\right\}$ (respectively, in $\left\{g_{2}\right.$ $\left.a\left(f-g_{2}\right): 0: a=1\right\}$ ) such that $f_{m} \rightarrow f . \tilde{f}_{m} \rightarrow f$ and $P_{E_{n}}\left(f_{m}\right)=\left\{g_{1}\right\}$ (respectively. $P_{E_{n}}\left(\dot{f}_{i,}\right)=\left\{g_{2}\right\}$ ). This shows that there does not exist an inner-radialcontinuous selection for $P_{E_{n}}$, because if there were an inner-radial-continuous selection $s$ for $P_{E_{n}}$, then we would have $s\left(f_{m}\right) \approx g_{1}$ and $s\left(\tilde{f}_{m}\right)=g_{2}$ for each $m$ and, since $f_{m} \rightarrow f^{\prime \prime}$ and $\tilde{f}_{m} \rightarrow f . s(f)=g_{1}$ and $s(f)=g_{2}$. But this is impossible. since $g_{1}=g_{2}$.

We define for each $m$ functions $f_{l \prime \prime}:=g_{1}-(1-1: m)\left(f-g_{1}\right)$ and $\dot{f}_{m}:=$ $g_{2}-(1-1 m)\left(f-g_{2}\right)$. We show that $P_{E_{n}}\left(f_{m}\right)=\left\{g_{1}\right\}$ for each $m$. Since $g_{1} \in P_{E_{n}}(f)$. by the proof of Lemma 2.1 in Singer [21] $g_{1} \in P_{E_{n}}\left(f_{m}\right)$ for each $m$. Assume there exists a function $\tilde{g}_{1} \in P_{E_{n}}\left(f_{m}\right)$ with $\tilde{g}_{1} \neq g_{1}$. Then $\breve{g}_{1} \in P_{E_{n}}(f)$ because, if $f-g_{1}<f-\tilde{g}_{1}^{\prime}$, then $(1-(1: m)) f-g_{1}=!f_{m}-g_{1} \mid=$ $f_{m}-\bar{g}_{1}-\cdots g_{1}-(1-(1 \dot{\prime} m))\left(f-g_{1}\right)-\check{g}_{1} \vdots=\left(f-\check{g}_{1}\right)-(1 . m)(f-$ $\left.g_{1}\right) \therefore f-\check{g}_{1}-(1 m) f-g_{1} \quad \because 1 f-g_{1}-(1 . m): f-g_{1}-11--$
$(1 m)) \mid f-g_{1}$, which is a contradicion. By Satz 1 in Braess [2] there exist $a \leqslant x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n+1} \leqslant b$ such that $\epsilon(-1)^{i}\left(f-\tilde{g}_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=\| f-\tilde{g}_{1}, i=$ $0, \ldots . n \div 1, \epsilon= \pm 1$. Then $(1-(1!m))_{i} f-g_{1} ;={ }_{i} f_{m}-g_{1} ;=\mid f_{m n}-\tilde{g}_{1} i_{1}$ $\geqslant \epsilon(-1)^{c}\left(f_{m}-\tilde{g}_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=\epsilon(-1)^{i}\left(f-\tilde{g}_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)-\epsilon(-1)^{i}(1, m)\left(f-g_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $i \mid f-\tilde{g}_{1}-\epsilon(-1)^{i}(1 / m)\left(f-g_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=\| f-g_{1}!-\epsilon(-1)^{i}(1 / m)\left(f-g_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)$ $\geqslant i_{i} f-g_{1}^{\prime}-(1!m)\left|f-g_{1}=(1-(1!m))^{\prime} f-g_{1}\right|$.

Now $\epsilon(-1)^{i}\left(f-g_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=f-g_{1} \mid=f-\tilde{g}_{1}=\epsilon(-1)^{i}\left(f-\tilde{g}_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)$ and therefore $\left(g_{1}-\tilde{g}_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=0, i=0, \ldots, n$. Since the points $a \leqslant x_{0}<\cdots<$ $x_{n-1} \leqslant b$ are extreme points of $f-g_{1}$ and $f-g_{1}$, we have $\left(f^{\prime}-g_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $0=\left(f^{\prime}-\tilde{g}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$. Then $g_{1}-\tilde{g}_{1}$ has at least $2 n$ zeros, counting multiplicities. and at most degree $2 n$. but by Meinardus [15, pp. 167], this is impossible. Therefore $P_{E_{n}}\left(f_{m}\right)==\left\{g_{1}\right\}$ and analogously, $P_{E_{n}}\left(\tilde{f}_{m}\right)=\left\{g_{2}\right\}$ for each $m$. This completes the proof.
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